

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,576	02/27/2002	Linda H. Malkas	80371/5	5889
25223	7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON, LLP			HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA	
ATTN: GREGORY M STONE SEVEN SAINT PAUL STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BALTIMOR	RE, MD 21202-1626		1643	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/083,576	MALKAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sheela J. Huff	1643	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 16-18</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-15. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. Meta affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1643

**Application No. 10/083,576** 

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As stated in the action mailed 2/17/06 the material added is new matter. Applicant is now trying add the same new matter and is also trying to added the new matter in delcaration form. First with respect to the new matter, applicant argues that the material merely provides further support for the paragraph at page 10, lines 10-17. The figures and the newly added material show specific cancer lines which contain the csPCNA and the specification does not state that these cancer lines contain csPCNA. Therefore, it is new matter. With respect to the declarations adding new matter--declarations cannot be used to add new matter. The Examiner statement that the figures be provided in delcaration form was to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection..

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): IF, IF, IF the amendment had been entered and if the declarations had been properly submitted (without trying to add new matter), then the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and the art rejections would have been overcome. IF, the amendment had been entered, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, would have been overcome.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is again trying to add new matter--the same matter that was added previously. This new matter is maintained as new matter for reasons stated above under 3d. Applicant must cancel this new matter in response to this action. The declarations do contain sufficient evidence to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and the art rejections, However, the declarations are improper because they attempt to add new matter. Resubmitting proper declarations (ie without the attempt to add new matter) would overcome the rejections.